

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/758,381	01/10/2001	Richard L. Watson		9087		
75	12/05/2003	EXAMI	EXAMINER			
COURTENA		HOEY, AL	HOEY, ALISSA L			
LOEFFLER, JONAS & TUGGEY LLP 755 EAST MULBERRY, SUITE 200 SAN ANTONIO, TX 78212			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3765	, .		
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	\mathcal{G}		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

: •								
Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		09/758,38	31	WATSON, RICHARD L.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Alissa L. F	łoey	3765	.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by started the provided by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no evereply within the statuted will apply and wind tute, cause the apple.	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) day. Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	3 October 200	<u>3</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 13-15</u> is/are allowed.							
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b)	\square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burksee the attached detailed Office action for a lacknowledgment is made of a claim for dome ince a specific reference was included in the 7 CFR 1.78. 1) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome eference was included in the first sentence of	ents have been ents have been riority docume eau (PCT Rule list of the certification priority urfirst sentence provisional appestic priority ur	n received. n received in Application received in Application to the sent received at 17.2(a)). fied copies not received at 17.5 and 19.6 are of the specification or plication has been received at 15 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National S ed. e) (to a provisional in an Application I eived. and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachmen	et(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
2) Notice	the of References Cited (P10-692) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s		· <u>-</u>	atent Application (PTO-				

Application/Control Number: 09/758,381 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of figures 1-2C, 4A and 4B in Paper No. 15 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the election is improper and unclear. There was some confusion on which figures went with each embodiment. To clear the record the election stands as: claims 1-15 (figures 1-5B) and claims 16-20 (figure 6) are patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. No claim is generic. If claims 1-15 (figures 1-5B) is elected than an election of species is required between figures 1-2C, 4A and 4B and figures 3A, 3B, 5A and 5B. Claim 1 is generic and if found allowable all embodiments of figures 1-5B will be allowed in the case.
- 2. A telephone call was made to Court Allen on 12/01/03 and elected with traverse figures 1-2C, 4A and 4B readable on claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 8-11.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the glove with an elongated shank attached (claim 12) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 1-11 and 13-15 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/758,381

Art Unit: 3765

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Lohrmann, Gilbaugh, Christ and Zapata are all cited to show

closely related devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alissa L. Hoey whose telephone number is (703) 308-

6094. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30)Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on (703) 305-1025. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0861.

Alissa L. Hoey
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3700

Page 3